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Abstract
Popular media suggests that technological advancement will continue to have a dramatic effect on work, but it is difficult to distinguish between the hype surrounding this and the actual potential impacts. This study examines what the evidence is in relation to the impact of emerging technologies on work and the role of the human resource (HR) function in helping employees and organisations to navigate these changes. Evidence suggests that the latest technologies, such as artificial intelligence and robotics, are being employed by organisations to automate simple and repetitive tasks as well as to make complex decisions quickly and more accurately via predictive algorithms. In addition, emerging technologies are increasingly being used to support the implementation of more flexible working practices such as virtual work and gig work. However, this will present a number of challenges for HR professionals, who will need to help employees to update their skills to compete in the future world of work, and to find ways to address the possible negative effects of increased connectivity and precarious working arrangements on employee wellbeing.
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Introduction

Over the last few years, we have seen a vast amount of attention paid to the impact of technological development on work, sometimes referred to as the changing world of work, particularly by popular media and consultants. Newspaper headlines such as “Robots will take our jobs. We’d better plan now before it’s too late” (Elliott, 2018) have become commonplace. Some commentators also suggest that advances in technology will lead to changes in the workplace as dramatic as the collapse of the traditional employment relationship, the widespread use of artificial reality instead of travel and the replacement of humans with artificial intelligence (AI) and robotics. It is true to say that the most recent advancements in technology, such as AI, are having a dramatic effect on the workplace. Indeed, we are seeing rapid growth in the use of AI and robotics to automate simple and repetitive tasks such as factory work and many back-office duties; and to make complex decisions, such as medical diagnostics, quickly and more accurately via predictive algorithms. A recent study from Frey & Osborne (2017) found that around 47% of total employment is in the high-risk category – i.e., jobs expected to be automated over the next decade or two. Technological advancement is often associated with other changes within the world of work that might be facilitated by the technology itself, like for example, the disintegration of the traditional employment relationship to be replaced by gig economy work; an increased emphasis on flexibility and agility at work; and a new generation of employees with vastly different attitudes to the previous workforce. Amongst all of the propaganda surrounding the impact of technological advancement on the future of work, however, it remains unclear what the actual evidence base is in relation to this and what the role of the human resource (HR) function will be in supporting the organisation and its employees in navigating these changes.

The purpose of this study is, therefore, to take a more evidence-based approach to investigate this topic, via a structured review of the evidence. In doing this, the research aims to address the following research question: what is the published evidence relating to the impact of emerging technologies on work and what is the role of HR in relation to these changes?

Below we will present the methodology for this evidence review, followed by a summary of the results and conclusions from this study.

Methods

In order to address the question above, an analysis of published evidence relating to the impact of technology on work and people management was undertaken. Evidence reviews typically focus on peer-reviewed, academic papers. However, due to the time it takes to research and publish such outputs, and the speed of change in relation to technology, published information on technological advancement and its impact on work and people management tends to be slightly out of date. In order to address this limitation, we broadened our review to include the grey literature, and research undertaken by consultancies and similar bodies.

A comprehensive review of the existing evidence in relation to how technological advancement has/is changing the world of work; what technological trends are likely to directly affect work, the workplace or the workforce; and the role of HR in relation to these changes was therefore undertaken. To identify published evidence related to the above areas, a list of appropriate keywords and search strings was identified. These were grouped into the following six thematic areas: technology, work, future, HRM, working practices, and other trends (see Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Work</th>
<th>Future</th>
<th>HRM</th>
<th>Working practices</th>
<th>Other trends</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technological</td>
<td>Work</td>
<td>Future</td>
<td>Human Resource</td>
<td>Homworking</td>
<td>Megatrends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial Intelligence (AI)</td>
<td>Workplace</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>HRM</td>
<td>Remote Working</td>
<td>Globalisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual Reality</td>
<td>Workforce</td>
<td>Advancement</td>
<td>HR Role</td>
<td>Flexible Work</td>
<td>Demographics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augmented Reality</td>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>HR Activity</td>
<td>Autonomy</td>
<td>Multigenerational workforce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automation</td>
<td>Work environment</td>
<td>Advances</td>
<td>HR Skills</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gig economy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robotics</td>
<td>Work context</td>
<td>HR Analytics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital</td>
<td>Employees</td>
<td>HR Professionals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online</td>
<td>Workers</td>
<td>People Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-</td>
<td>Labour</td>
<td>Talent Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wearables devices</td>
<td>Public sector</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensors</td>
<td>Government</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Keywords for review of published evidence.
A number of sources were used such as published studies in academic journals; and reports and research studies from non-academic bodies such as consultancies, think tanks and government bodies. These were identified via searches on online databases and the Internet (via ABI/INFORM Complete, ScienceDirect, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google) as well as via recommendations from experts in this field. Relying on both academic and non-academic databases is important given the nature of the subject matter, which is fed by various disciplines, including Psychology, Sociology, HRM, Organisational Behaviour, Information Systems, Computer Science, Innovation and Organisation Studies. Given the high speed of changes in technological advancement, we focused most of our attention on publications between 2010 and 2018 in order to gain the most up to date scenarios for the future of work.

Publications were analysed for relevance to our research question (i.e. did they focus on research pertaining to the research question?) and quality in relation to the research design. For example, studies were assessed in relation to whether they: were based in a comprehensive literature review; used rigorous and appropriate research methods; used a large enough and appropriate sample; employed appropriate analyses; drew conclusions based on the evidence. The research team reviewed the titles and abstracts of all the identified literature making an initial selection of 115 documents. Then, each document was critically examined for relevance to the literature review by a careful read of the document itself and evaluation of the contribution of each article to the three areas investigated. The final selection of this review comprised 51 documents.

Findings
The results identified a number of emerging technologies that are likely to have an impact on the future of work and therefore have implications for the HR function. First, digital platforms were shown to be used commonly within work and are central to online marketplaces such as Amazon or e-Bay and to labour market platforms such as Uber or Freelancer.com (Morgan, 2014; Zysman & Kenney, 2018). Second, AI and machine learning were prominent within the published evidence and mostly applied for data analysis, finding patterns and making predictions (Government Office for Science, 2015). Third, robotics was seen as affecting employment as industrial robots have increasingly taken on routine tasks usually performed by manufacturing workers (Frey & Osborne, 2017). Fourth, augmented and virtual reality (AR and VR) are demonstrated to be playing an increasingly significant role in industries such as healthcare, construction, oil and gas and aerospace (Higgins, 2017). Fifth, wearable devices are increasingly being employed in the workplace to improve employees’ awareness about their personal wellness, to track employees’ progress and plan measures to sustain their engagement (Kim et al., 2012; Moore & Robinson, 2016; Moore & Piwek, 2016; Wilson, 2013). Finally, blockchain was suggested as being used for transactions and information exchange that requires a high level of security (Wright, 2018; Yli-Huumo et al., 2016).

These emerging technologies will present a number of challenges for the HR function and for people management more broadly (Bondarouk & Brewster, 2016; Marler & Parry, 2016; Stone et al., 2015). Organisations will need to build a strategy for how they can most benefit from these technologies, for example to build efficiencies and improve the accuracy of decision-making, while also addressing any potentially negative impacts on employees. The role of the HR function in facilitating, or mitigating, the potential effects of emerging technologies on the workforce are presented briefly below.

Automation and changing skills requirements
Evidence suggests that there are enormous financial incentives for employers to increasingly automate their (currently human) processes (Markoff, 2011) and that advances in automation could dramatically change the nature of jobs available (PWC, 2017). Realistically, whether a task can be automated depends on the ability of coders to write a set of procedures that will improve the problem specification and account for every possible contingency (Frey & Osborne, 2017). Despite this limitation, automation is increasingly being used in areas that require the storing or access of information (Frey & Osborne, 2017), such as in fraud detection, medical diagnosis (Cohn, 2013; Wolcott, 2018) and law (Markoff, 2011). In addition, the automation of manual tasks is increasingly widespread, including tasks such as driving (Autor et al., 2003; Veres et al., 2011), cargo handling (Bloss, 2011) and mining (Frey & Osborne, 2017).

The role of HR practitioners in the process of automation is not clear from the evidence, but it would seem that they could play a key role in identifying tasks (and thus eventually roles) that could be automated. Perhaps more important however, is the HR function’s role addressing the impact of job losses as a result of automation (Frey & Osborne, 2017; PWC, 2017). Not only should HR practitioners be central to supporting employees through a period of uncertainty while such decisions are made, they should also be responsible for considering how employees can be re-skilled or up-skilled in order to replace obsolete skills so that they can be retained in the workforce.

The evidence suggests that the type of knowledge, skills, and abilities required by organisations will change. For example, the need for routine cognitive and manual skills is decreasing, while the need for non-routine cognitive and manual skills has increased (Autor et al., 2003). Research suggests that organisations will need a workforce with increased skill variety, autonomy, and interdependence, as well as increased cognitive, creative, technical and social skills, (Liu & Grusky, 2013; Wegman et al., 2018), to complement machines (MacCrorry et al., 2014) and to perform the remaining tasks that are not automated (Makridakis, 2017). The HR function has a key role in ensuring the recruitment and development of these competencies as well as in designing leader development programmes that consider the new challenges related to managing employees in a modern work context and in coordinating humans and machines (Frey & Osborne, 2017).
Increased flexibility of time and place

There is considerable evidence that organisations are increasingly offering flexible working practices in order to meet employees’ needs and to reduce costs associated with having a physical workplace (Berkery et al., 2017; de Menezes & Kelliher, 2011; Stavrout et al., 2015). It is clear that developments in internet, and more recently mobile, technologies have increased the ability of individuals to work remotely and therefore out of usual office hours. However, it is also important to realise that technology is not the sole driver for an increase in flexible working as this has also been influenced by higher numbers of women in the workplace and by flexible pension arrangements (Atkinson, 2017). The management of flexible working policies, and creation of career and performance management systems that ensure that employees are not disadvantaged by working flexibly are undoubtedly the remit of the HR function. Indeed, research suggests that flexible working arrangements, while offering employees freedom about where and when they work, can also lead to work intensification (Kelliher & Anderson, 2010).

The increase in remote working has led to a perceived decline in the physical workplace (Waber et al., 2014), although data would suggest that in most companies the take-up of home working is slow (Bevan, 2017). One reason for this might be the importance of face-to-face interaction, which has been shown to be necessary in order to sustain trustful relationships between employees (Forbes, 2013), maintain low stress levels (Chron, 2017) and improve employee performance (Waber et al., 2014). Indeed, as employees become increasingly dispersed, building relationships in the workplace will become a challenge. As virtual systems are becoming more frequently implemented within organisations, research focusing on the importance of social interactions in the workplace is increasing (Heaphy & Dutton, 2008; Marlow et al., 2017; McGrath et al., 2017). For example, Heaphy & Dutton (2008) suggested that social interactions at work, whether brief connections or enduring relationships, have physiological correlates and effects on the cardiovascular, immune, and neuroendocrine systems. They maintain that physiological resourcefulness built in positive social interactions shapes micro-organisational behaviour, such as engagement and work recovery, and that organisations shape employees by providing (or failing to provide) opportunities for these positive social interactions to occur. In a similar vein, McGrath et al. (2017) found that a greater level of social interaction predicts higher engagement in the workplace suggesting that such interactions act as a resource encouraging employees to immerse themselves in work. Moreover, their findings suggest that these positive effects do not end with the working day. To the contrary, on days when employees are engaged in their work, after work, they report greater participation in experiences that foster recovery. Therefore, organisations need to ensure employees find ways to interact. As a response to this need many organisations (e.g. Facebook) have led the development of shared spaces where employees can meet to work and interact.

Employment arrangements

The evidence suggested that the trend towards workers undertaking jobs using AI platforms via the gig economy and open talent economy is resulting in employment relations increasingly based on self-employment contracts, subcontracts, and various forms of ‘gig-work’ (Deloitte, 2013). Research suggests that almost 2.8 million workers in the UK are involved in the gig economy to some extent and that this is contributing to a decrease in demand for permanent employees, allowing organisations to reduce costs and increase their numerical flexibility (Berg, 2016). This in turn is said to be leading to precarious work conditions (Fleming; Moisander et al., 2018), the inability of individuals to be able to influence their working environment (CIPD, 2017) and a lack of institutional connectedness (Fitzgerald et al., 2012). In addition, the gig economy has been linked to growing economic insecurity, low productivity, diminished autonomy and increased levels of personal debt (Fleming, 2017).

HR practitioners therefore need to think about how the organisation might benefit from the flexibility that this approach might offer, while still ensuring that employees are not put at risk from a lack of support and employment security. It is important here that employers take a responsible, and longer term, approach to using these contracting arrangements, rather than focusing solely on short-term cost savings and flexibility.

Effects on employee well being

The above discussion suggests that employers need to consider a possible downside of the increased use of technology in the workplace. Indeed, research has proposed that the move to a workforce that is increasingly connected and contactable, along with the increase of global working, means that work is becoming nearer to 24/7 (Deloitte, 2016) and that the potential for employees to overwork (and thus damage their wellbeing) is increasing (Chron, 2016; Schlacter et al., 2018). Media coverage demonstrates that employees are concerned about this prospect. For example, employees from Google referred to connectivity as an “electronic leash” that damages their wellbeing (Independent, 2017), while other reports have linked increased connectivity with stress and burnout (The Guardian, 2016).

The HR function is central in the process of addressing such concerns as policies relating to employee wellbeing are to a large degree their responsibility. Indeed, some companies such as Daimler have introduced policies to encourage employees to disconnect outside of working hours (HR Magazine, 2017). However, it is difficult to enforce such ideas, and especially to balance the need for choice of when and where to work with the risk of overwork.

The impact of emerging technologies on HRM

The above examples suggest a role for the HR function in navigating the changes to work, the workplace and the workforce that are driven by technological advancement. However, it is important to also note that emerging technologies have been shown to also influence the way that organisations undertake their HR activities. The principal HR functions of attracting, selecting, developing, motivating and retaining talented employees in organisations (Stone et al., 2015) remain important, but potentially require different approaches in the future world of work (Holland & Burdoel, 2016). For example, as reported by
Davenport et al., (2010) we see companies adopting sophisticated data collection technology and analytics to improve methods of attracting and retaining talent, thus confirming the idea that technology is transforming the way that HR processes are managed, especially in relation to collecting and using data. Using interactive technologies can support line managers and HR professionals to enhance interactions and communication with their employees. In general, technology can provide new opportunities for HR. We have already seen that technology often increases efficiency in delivering services, decreases the administrative-related work, and allows HR to contribute to the strategic direction of organisations (Bondarouk & Brewster, 2016; Stone et al., 2015). As Marler & Parry (2016) suggest, the technology enabled shift from being primarily administratively oriented to being more strategically oriented, and the increasing availability of data might re-structure decision making processes creating new opportunities for HR people to be involved in “complex, judgment-oriented and professionally demanding tasks and responsibilities” (p. 2234). Emerging technologies might allow the further progression of this trend by, for example, allowing real-time monitoring of employee and workplace data via sensors and decision-making via complex algorithms (Bondarouk & Brewster, 2016). At its most drastic, the increase in automation and rise in self-employment and the gig economy might result in a significantly smaller permanent workforce, meaning that the role of HR will be fundamentally changed.

Conclusions and implications
The findings of the evidence review and above discussion suggest that emerging technologies such as AI, robotics, VR and AR, digital technologies, wearables and blockchain have the potential to affect work and employees significantly. The degree and speed of this impact depends to a large extent on developments in the technologies themselves and the willingness of organisations to adopt them. This review also indicates that the HR function has a key role to play in helping employees to navigate the changes to the world of work particularly in relation to skills development, work organisation and mental health. While the key activities involved in HRM – to support managers in employees in going about their daily work (CEB, 2018) – are unlikely to change, the role of the HR function may become even more important as both the potential benefits and risks of emerging technologies for employees develop. Whether the HR function can successfully undertake this role depends upon their own skills development and their own understanding of technologies and their implications. Jesuthasan (2017) suggests that sustaining digital engagement in the future workplace will become a key part of the HR role. We would go beyond that statement and propose that actually the role of the HR function is also to develop and support employees in order to ensure their growth and wellbeing, as well as the sustainability of the organisation, against the backdrop of this technological advancement.
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