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Abstract
In this work, we discuss the gap between teaching the understanding that engaging in corporate social responsibility (CSR) activities is important and showcasing it as a normal practice for different companies and situations – not just for multinational enterprises (MNEs) or as a case of charity. We highlight an important possible gap in CSR education, which prohibits students and graduates from effectively aligning business objectives with applying technological solutions to social and environmental problems. It is our belief that the poor statistics concerning social entrepreneurship growth in Russia contribute to particular flaws in CSR education for future managers and specialists in medium and large private enterprises, particularly for undergraduate and pre-experience graduate programs. The case of applying modern educational technologies to compensate for the inability to integrate the studied solutions to environmental problems with own goals is described.
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On the importance-centered paradigm of CSR education

The paradigm of corporate social responsibility (CSR) education has traditionally been built up around the idea that students, as future specialists and managers, must be made aware of the important consequences that their decisions might have on the environment, including different types of stakeholders, such as society in general, and environment. The end goal for the practice could be viewed as former students being able to successfully align their business goals with the benefits of the many. For decades, many of the leading international business schools have successfully integrated lectures, courses, and summer schools on CSR in the training of bachelor students, master students, MBAs and executives, which makes it is safe to conclude that currently there are numerous cohorts (and in more developed economies, numerous generations) who understand that CSR is an important practice.

However, there is a gap between an understanding of the importance of the potential risky, costly and not necessarily business goal-related practice of CSR and its actual implementation. Existing research indicates that the main practitioners of CSR in Russia are in fact the largest multinational enterprises (MNEs), and this practice, to a great extent, is guided by foreign market policies and corresponding entry barriers\(^1\)-\(^2\). At the same time, social entrepreneurship growth in Russia is amongst the lowest in the world\(^3\). Russia is characterized by significant difficulties in informing the population about the nature and possibilities of social entrepreneurship and attracting high-level specialists in social ventures\(^4\)-\(^5\). The problem is rooted in various peculiarities of Russia, including government policy, culture, and also education. The association of CSR with an activity for the “rich and bored” MNEs and charity organizations in general prohibits rapid dissemination of such practices.

We argue that educators oriented on producing future managers for middle-sized companies and the private sector in Russia must focus not so much on teaching the students that CSR is important, but rather that it is “normal”. The integration of case studies about successful foreign companies and MNE’s, which implement CSR, organizing garbage cleaning excursions for students, encouraging charity engagement might not be a sufficient enough practice to promote CSR if those activities are not systematically being integrated with business goals. Moreover, a sole focus on the importance-centered paradigm of CSR education may further contribute to alienating the students from the concept of CSR. This may happen if the student starts thinking of CSR as a practice for only the richest companies, or a practice that he or she might engage in when they have the spare time and the extra money. It is our belief that the modern paradigm of CSR education should evolve beyond its importance-centricity: instead of concentrating on getting a louder presence in the conversation, it becomes imperative to focus on what is happening in the silence after the conversation is over, or more precisely on what does not happen.

The role of work experience in learning CSR

The notion that CSR education should be integrated into the curriculum through varying subjects and internships in not new (e.g., \(^5\), \(^6\)); however, how this integration is to be established remains the more prominent and unresolved issue\(^6\). For example, Sunley and Leigh\(^7\) look at CSR education as consisting of several stages, from merely linking ethics to corporate governance or legal context to integrating social, ethical and environmental considerations into all management subjects, fostering social entrepreneurship and inclusive business. The transition from the first step to the last requires going beyond providing CSR as a core, compulsory module and engaging students with charities. The last stage involves a transformation of thinking that in many ways must be initiated by the student; there, the focus of CSR education shifts to integration and innovation.

It is important to note that the CSR education process is different for students with and without practical experience of work, and those with and without the opportunity to immediately initiate or try to initiate CSR practices in their place of work. As depicted in Figure 1, for non-practitioner students

\(\text{Figure 1. Stages of corporate social responsibility (CSR) education.}\)
there is a gap before they can actually integrate solutions to environmental issues with real business goals. Students with little or no work experience would likely understand the importance of the issues in question, but as they are not able to implement what they learn in the stand-alone courses immediately, there is a chance that it will remain a concept concerning issues that are important but not that relevant. Wide theoretical knowledge of the principles of socially responsible management does not necessarily guarantee understanding of the relationship between existing technological solutions to social problems and the needs of the market and individual consumers.

This knowledge gap prohibits the ability of recent graduates to formulate convincing arguments that prove the potential for the implementation of these technologies in the market. Without the ability to operate with applied marketing concepts and indicators in the discussion of CSR initiatives, it will be very difficult for them to convince future employers of the need to implement the principles of responsible management and sustainable development of the business as a whole. Unless, upon graduation, the non-practitioner students find themselves in a managing position or in a function directly related to CSR, this gap is likely to expand as graduates further lose the ability to connect what they do on daily basis with CSR. Therefore, for the business school it is not enough to repeatedly explain the importance of environmental safety problems to the students, but rather stimulate them to link the two worlds through hands-on experience. In order to promote the more efficient dissemination of best practices in domestic business, it is necessary to design innovative educational technologies that could stimulate students to gain empirical experience of using business tools to implement practical business tasks related to the implementation of technological solutions to social and environmental problems.

**The case of IBS-Moscow, RANEPA**

Institute of Business Studies (IBS)-Moscow RANEPA is a leading Russian business school whose mission is to form the new generation of a socially responsible entrepreneurial and managerial elite of Russia, with a focus on training medium and large private enterprise leaders. The IBS team believes that this stratum is at the heart of current and future market reforms and is expected to be the core of the non-corrupted and socially responsible Russian business elite and the national civil society of the future. The subjects of ethics, responsibility and sustainability are covered in stand-alone courses, while the school also organizes guest lectures, discussions and round-table talks on ethics, social responsibility and sustainable development led by well-known Russian experts. Theory is simultaneously integrated with practice through extra curriculum activities, such as involving MBAs and executives in charitable work with orphanages and plastic cap collection.

In order to help students overcome the gap that leads to an inability to align CSR principles with business goals, the school has introduced a mandatory requirement to include, for all graduation papers, a sub-section with the student’s reflections on the ethics- and social responsibility-related aspects of the research topic. Although this encourages the establishment of necessary connections of the research with CSR, this solution is most efficient for the students with practical experience, who work on a graduate thesis based on own business analysis or a business plan creation. In order to help the rest of the students to be able to cross the gap, it was decided that the school needs to aim at maximizing the empirical experience gained by students in analyzing markets of eco-friendly technologies through the use of the inverted class technique, online learning and gamification as tools for deep motivation and independent immersion in the context of the studied markets when completing course assignments.

As a result, the course “Analysis of international markets” was reorganized around the evaluation of international markets for green technologies: as part of a role-playing game, groups of students should gradually study the barriers and opportunities for promoting given technologies using the analysis tools presented in the course. Without a single lecture on green technologies, the impact on the environment or CSR, students had to use marketing methods and all available information around them to assess the market potential of smart technologies to find barriers in the minds of consumers and the business environment that prevent smart technologies from spreading as quickly as possible, indicate the best practices from around the world and suggest ways to introduce them in Russia to promote the use of this technology.

Students were divided into groups of 3–5 people, and were assigned a smart energy-efficient technology for analysis, while a specific foreign country was used as a reference. Each team chose a role (consultant-investor, manager of a large company or entrepreneur) and made an analysis based on it. For 6 weeks, they watched educational video cartoons with examples of marketing instruments application, studied market analysis examples, and then presented the results of the analysis in front of a commission of business practitioners: managers from IKEA, JTI, L’Oréal and Gazprombank – some of the largest CSR practitioners in Russia.

Individual achievements were measured by the number of earned stamps on the “green card of skills”: (1) participating in debates, (2) creating an attractive visual presentation, (3) making a live presentation, (4) leading the project, (5) participating as a member of the evaluation committee, (6) project defense. Since within the framework of each seminar one student could receive only one stamp, each member of the team had to actively interact with the others and comprehensively show themselves in different roles throughout the course. Only a complete collection of stamps allowed to receive individual points for the course (20 points out of 100%).

Business practitioners evaluated student presentations based on a number of criteria, the most important of which was the relation of results to business practice. The purpose of this system was to help students balance the beautiful idea of green technology with what the business actually does and
what it really cares about, to learn how to find, present, and sell an idea or opportunity to make the environment a little safer.

In 2018, the course lasting 1.5 months was successfully completed by 115 students, 6 animated and interactive lectures were created, 8 full-time classes were held for 6 academic groups (21 teams/projects), and one multimedia platform was launched for communication with students. The final projects of students were presented at the international conference: “Eurasia: Digital Reality” on April 18, 2018 in Moscow. The students’ presentations and performances during the question and answers session indicated a huge shift in their understanding of the multifaceted relationship between business and the environment, including their own environmental impact. Overall, without a single lecture on green technologies in the framework of this course, students were able not only to master advanced marketing methods, but also independently align solutions to large socio-environmental problems with the real needs of business and consumers.

Conclusions

Overall, we encourage CSR educators to analyze their CSR teaching processes in search of a potential gap, which is able to prohibit the abilities of graduates to effectively link societal and environmental problems to markets and businesses as well as the implementation of technological solutions to these problems with real business goals and key performance indicators. In that respect, we argue that undergraduate and pre-work experience graduate programs face this complication more often. To overcome the gap, the design of innovative teaching methods is required, which would maximize the empirical experience gained by students during the study of marketing and other business disciplines, whereas CSR would take the place of a study context rather than a study focus. Therefore, the shift away from the importance-centered paradigm of CSR education can occur, with this lessening the possible alienation of students and graduates from the CSR concept.
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