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Abstract
The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) provide a framework to achieve sustainable development and fulfilling these Goals will take an unprecedented effort by all sectors in society. Many universities and businesses are using the Goals within their strategies and sustainability reporting. However, this is difficult as there is currently no standard methodology to map the 17 goals, 169 targets and 232 indicators. Work at the University of Leicester has focused on developing a robust methodology to map a higher education institution’s (HEI’s) research contribution to the Goals. We have integrated this unique methodology into an automated software tool to measure a university’s academic contribution to the Goals using mathematical text mining techniques. Our ability to quickly and effectively map institutions’ research contributions has boosted our ambitions and efforts to develop software to map the full operations of an HEI or business.
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**Introduction**

The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) provide a blueprint to achieve a better and more sustainable future for all by 2030 (United Nations, 2015). In 2015, the UN developed these Goals from the initial eight Millennium Development Goals to make the goals more universal for both the Global North and South. The Goals showcase the wide reach of sustainability including environmental, economic and social sustainability. All the countries of the UN General Assembly signed the 2030 Development Agenda and committed to helping to achieve these Goals. Governments are not only responsible for adopting the Goals, however, as universities and businesses also have a duty to work towards them.

**Importance**

**Universities for good**

Education is a public good, allowing students the opportunity to become change makers in the world. Although only around 3% of people go to university globally, 80% of world leaders went to university so education has a disproportionate influence (St George’s House, 2018). Universities play an important part in achieving these goals as “basic and applied research can address real-world problems, societal needs, mind sets, and technologies necessary to break new ground of and for sustainability” (Körngen et al., 2018) and also in teaching the future generations how to deal with global issues.

Universities are training the world leaders of the future and students believe that their education should involve both critique of the sustainability agenda and information on how to get more practically involved in being part of the solution (National Union of Students, 2019). Universities, with their broad remit around the creation and dissemination of knowledge and their unique position within society, have a critical role to play in the achievement of the Goals. Universities are taking on the role of “change agents for societal transformation at the interface between scientific, political, and societal stakeholders and institutions” (Körngen et al., 2018). This highlights the importance and need for transformational research not just informational research.

The demand from students is also increasing:

- Approximately 80% of students want their institution to be doing more on sustainable development (National Union of Students, 2019)
- Around 60% of students want to learn more about sustainability (National Union of Students, 2019)

Across the UK higher education sector there has been a change in dialogue with new students in the 2019–20 academic year, as both UK and international students recognise the Goals from their school education and are asking how the University is engaging with them and how they can be involved.

Measuring impact allows universities to fulfil demand for SDG-related education, build new partnerships, access new funding streams, and define a university beyond just statistical results. The Research Excellence Framework (REF) is the current system for assessing the quality of research in UK Higher Education Institutions (HEIs). The REF holds significant importance within HEIs as the outcomes of the assessment inform the allocation of research grants for each institution. It also helps to demonstrate the wider benefits and impacts of the investment into research conducted across the UK. The REF activity is key for HEIs as it enables the assessment of research impact beyond academia. In this context impact is defined as “an effect on, change or benefit to the economy, society, culture, public policy or services, health, the environment or quality of life, beyond academia”.

**Reporting**

The recognition and importance of the Goals are constantly increasing within the higher education sector. In 2019, the Times Higher Education (THE) ran the inaugural University Impact Ranking, which was solely based on the Goals and their indicators, with the number of research publications & citations being a key part in the scoring. Using the Goals as a reporting framework is becoming the norm. Over 90% of the global 250 companies are reporting on them and it is fast becoming a de-facto requirement (KPMG, 2015). But with 17 goals, 169 targets and 232 indicators, it is very complicated to be able to map activities and operations appropriately.

**Current problem**

With the growing need to rigorously map contributions to the Goals, in line with new reporting and rankings, organisations are looking for ways to map their contributions. However, there is no standardised method to map academic or operational contributions. Other institutions and organisations have started to explore this issue (Körngen et al., 2018), however there has not been a widely agreed, robust methodology.

Mapping the contributions can be time consuming to gather and audit data from different departments, both operationally and academically. Departments across the institution often work in silos so it can be difficult to gather information and data relating to SDG-related activities across institutions. There is also a knowledge barrier as not everyone has a full understanding of the Goals so collating all the relevant information can take longer. By creating an automated methodology, this could promote breaking these silos and encourage departments to engage with the Goals and easily map their own activities.

**Aims & objectives**

The objectives for this project are:

- To quantify an institution’s academic contributions, through publications and impact, towards the 17 Goals
- To develop a standardised method to map research contributions
- To use the results from the mapping exercise for new reporting & university league tables
Methods

Keywords

We first had to identify a way to categorise research publications into the different Goals. There was no standard list of keywords that represents the 17 goals, 169 targets and 232 indicators so we developed keywords from the Goals’ targets and matched with existing lists of keywords and phrases from the best examples available (Monash University and SDSN Australia/Pacific, 2017). These were refined further to exclude any keywords which produced a high number of outliers. This was done by using a criteria based on the SDG targets where the abstracts of the papers selected were checked against the targets to ensure the context is in line with the specific Goal. We improved the list further using cluster analysis to identify missed words or high frequency words that selected irrelevant research publications.

Mapping research publications

We used the keyword lists to search for academic output - for example journal articles and books. After initially trialling with Excel 2016, we used the Scopus database to search for publications by the SDG-specific keywords. Scopus is an abstract and citation online database of peer-reviewed literature (i.e. journals, books and conference proceedings) from across the world. Query codes were developed for each of the Goals (Mistry & Sellers, 2020a) with the affiliation identification number and the keywords, using examples from the Aurora Network as a baseline (Vanderfeesten & Otten, 2017). There is no formal definition of when research is classed as “research”, so the query code searches for publications in the last five years, in line with the introduction of the Goals in 2015. We started by searching through publications only from the University of Leicester so they could be internally validated. Initially, a manual search using the 17 query codes provided number of publications and citation counts for each Goal. The query codes were refined to reduce the number of outliers that were present by using a criteria similar to the initial keywords where the publication abstracts were checked against the relevant SDG targets.

REF impact case studies

The identification of the REF as significant in the research activities of all UK universities formed the basis for development of the automated mapping methodology. Mapping the REF Impact Case Studies highlights the very best of research activities that take place within a higher education institution and the mapping process allows us to undertake a breakdown analysis of the research submitted and enables us to distinguish which area of the university research has originated from.

For this analysis, REF 2014 datasets were extracted from the REF publicly available website. To ensure data extracted was homogenous, case studies were extracted using the following requirements. The dataset included 34 unit of assessments, which are specific subjects’ areas, title and summary of impact section. For each of the 85 case studies submitted by the University of Leicester in 2014, manual analysis of the title and summary of each REF impact case study was undertaken in order to classify the document to one or more relevant Goal. In-depth knowledge of the Goals enabled a broad but detailed picture of the University of Leicester’s contribution to each Goal to be uncovered. The depth of understanding of the Goals provided the basis needed to manually search and analyse the impact case studies. The identification of essential keywords and themes allowed for the classification of the case studies by Goal. This process was repeated for each unit of assessment and helped to understand the institutional breakdown of research submitted to the REF.

The classified data was organised according to number of case studies, per Goal, per unit of assessment (UoA) using Excel. The classified case studies were grouped together according to the University of Leicester college structure. There are three colleges: The College of Life Sciences, the College of Science and Engineering and the College of Social Sciences, Arts & Humanities. Using this information and divisional structure of each college, the case studies were organised within the department or school that they originated from.

Text mining clustering techniques were applied to the organised dataset, using R Studio 1.1.463. Clustering techniques are used for “large-scale topic discovery from text” (Larsen & Aone, 1999). These techniques extracted high frequency word sets from the summary section of the case studies. This process produced basic lists of keywords associated with each Goal. One benefit of using this technique allows for the quick summary of the “contents of a document collection, enabling users to selectively drill deeper to explore specific topics of interest without reading every document” (Larsen & Aone, 1999).

Alongside text mining techniques, a process of manual keyword selection took place which involved manually scanning the ‘summary of impact’ section for each case study within each of the Goals. Using this method, a list of keywords was selected based on our knowledge of the SDG targets. The text mined keyword lists were then combined with the manually selected to create a master keyword list. The initial lists produced did not include keywords for Goals 1 (No Poverty), 2 (Zero Hunger) and 6 (Clean Water and Sanitation) because there are no University of Leicester specific case studies manually classified for these three Goals. As a result, there was a significant limitation of using only the University of Leicester’s data as we failed to produce a full set of basic keywords for each Goal. We believed at this point, it was not effective to use the ‘summary of impact’ section of the case studies as it is very short piece of text and we believed the length of text hindered the discovery of all the words that were relevant to the Goals.
To combat this limitation, the process of text mining and manual selection of keywords was repeated. In this instance, the ‘underpinning research’ section of the REF Impact case studies were used as an alternative. This decision was made as this section of case study text were longer and more detailed, therefore more keywords could be extracted, in turn increasing the likelihood of achieving complete keywords list for each of the 17 Goals. We believed that the words selected from the ‘underpinning research’ text would be more suited to the Goals. Completing this exercise resulted in another set of keywords from both methods of text mining and manual selection for the ‘underpinning research’ section of Leicester’s REF Impact Case Studies.

Although this exercise was useful, it did not generate a comprehensive list of keywords for each of the 17 Goals as there are limitations to using only the University of Leicester’s REF 2014 data. To fill the gaps in the data our next step consisted of repeating the text mining technique using our research publication keywords which covers all 17 Goals. Our use of complete research keywords meant that we were able to obtain better results.

After the process of text mining, clustering techniques were used on the data set. Six main clusters were identified from the text mined keyword lists. The fewer the number of clusters the better and more accurate the results. These word clusters produced 50 high frequency words. These high frequency words were then used to compile a REF impact case study keywords list for Goals 1 (No Poverty), 2 (Zero Hunger) and 6 (Clean Water and Sanitation) which we previously did not have completed lists for. We now have a full compilation of appropriate keywords for each UN Sustainable Development Goal which can be used to classify all UK University REF Impact Case Studies (Mistry, 2020; Mistry & Sellers, 2020b).

Results & analysis
The master list of keywords for the REF Impact Case Studies is the central component of our automated tool. The tool is composed of a PDF reader and a set of coding in which our specific SDG keywords sit. The PDF reader extracts a specific section of text from the case study document which is then examined by the coding and classifies the case study to the relevant Goals. In many cases, more than one Goal can be classified within each document. The automation of the classification process sets our methodology apart from manual classification as it removes the inevitable degree of human error that can occur when manually classifying documents no matter the level of knowledge about the Goals.

It is important to note that due to the difference in text format, length and style, the REF impact case study keywords are different those previously compiled for research publication mapping. This demonstrates that each function of the tool (research, impact, teaching & operations) requires a different set of keywords. Acknowledging this means that we are able to select the most suitable set of keywords dependant on what function of an organisation is being mapped.

The methodology we have produced provides a high-level picture of a HEI’s contribution to the Goals, in terms of research publications and impact. The manual method used to acquire Goal specific research publications is time consuming however, due to the modular nature of the coding we are able to replace the impact keywords with the research keywords in order to automate the retrieval of research publication data. The flexibility in our methodology is a major achievement within our project.

The flexibility of the methodology is once again being used to develop to complete more detailed analyses of an institution, identifying where research and research impacts originate from within an institution. Looking at Leicester’s research at a more micro level, we can undertake mapping exercises according to the University’s college structure to determine how our college specific research aligns with the Goals, enabling us to demonstrate our research strengths and weaknesses. Furthermore, further divisions within colleges can also be used to create analysis of impact of research. This is important as schools and departments inform University research strategy and direction. The more focused the mapping exercise the more we can learn about our research patterns in line with the Goals.

For example, the results show that University of Leicester contributes to every Goal between the years of 2014 and 2019 (Figure 1) but also highlights Leicester’s strengths and weaknesses in relation to the Goals. The three highest goals (Goals 3, 16 and 10) are mostly related to social sustainability, followed by environmental sustainability (Goals 15, 11 and 13). The Goals with the lowest contribution are Goals 14 and 4.

By looking at both the number of publications and their citation counts, we can gain insight into the impact and reach of the publications. When comparing the number of publications to the numbers of overall citations from these publications, there are some similarities and surprising differences (Table 1). The top two goals with the highest publication numbers also had the highest amount of citations.

When looking at the differences, Goal 6 had 118 publications but had a large amount of citations (10,823) which was surprising (Table 2). Other goals with a high citation count with lower publication numbers include Goal 5 (155 publications; 7,318 citations) and Goal 2 (157 publications; 6,393 citations). This shows that even though the output was relatively small, it had a large amount of impact in terms of citations. Goal 13 (Climate Action) also moved up one place in the ranking from 6th highest number of publications to 5th in the citation count.

When looking at University of Leicester’s REF Impact case studies from 2014, we found that Goal 3 (Good Health and Wellbeing) had the highest count of case studies, similarly to the findings when looking at publications (Figure 2). However, Goal 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth) and 4 (Quality Education) also had a high number of case studies,
despite being low in the publication mapping. Whereas other goals that had a high number of publication numbers and citation counts, only have a couple of REF case studies, for example Goals 16 and 10. This shows that different mapping is required to see the whole contribution towards the Goals and capture both output and impact.

Visualisation
The visualisation of the SDG mapping data was difficult to make the data user-friendly and engaging, as there were 17 data points in a wide range. Bar charts and line charts had to be extended to contain the 17 Goals, which meant that the graph was hard to read. Pie charts were also hard to read due to having 17 segments with varying sizes. We adapted a version of the filled radar chart to give each data point a varying height while remaining in a circular position to ensure all points can be seen. The icons were added separately to provide an indicator for the relevant Goal for each section and the section colours match the official SDG colours to keep in theme.

Implications
Choosing the right keywords to use in the query codes was difficult to ensure only relevant publications or case studies were found. One implication was that many of the keywords have multiple meanings; for example, Goal 11 (Sustainable Cities and Communities) involves looking at transport systems, such as public transport, however transport can also be used in other contexts like transporting molecules around the body. Therefore, it was important to identify these double meanings and use two worded keywords or phrases to ensure it was identified in the right context.

There were also implications with using Scopus as the results are assuming that there are no duplications of publications in the database. Even though Scopus is an extensive database, there is a possibility that it might not hold all the publications from the University so some might be missed in the results.

With this methodology, we are only capturing published research and does not capture active research that is going on within institutions. This limits how we can map the current contribution towards the Goals as publication is at the end of the research process. There is a possibility to use this mapping methodology earlier in the process too, such as at the funding stage to capture research at different stages and see how it changes throughout the process.
Discussion/Conclusion
This methodology has many applications by providing data to inform and advance positive impact. The methodology can help to measure progress towards the Goals through mapping the impact of research, which can be done on a regional, national or international level depending on the research. In particular, the REF Impact case studies can provide information about impact on communities and government on varying levels.

HEIs have a role in achieving the Goals so it is important that they are used to inform decision-making and strategies (Ruiz-Mallén & Heras, 2020). Mapping an institution’s research contribution can inform decision-making as it helps to highlight strengths that can drive internal strategic developments at different levels of the institutions from schools, colleges and University wide. As the Goals are a structured and globally recognised framework, they can support research strategies by showing the aim and impact from an institution’s research activities. However operational and other academic strengths, such as teaching, should be also be considered when thinking about the other whole institution strategies, as research is often only one part of the institution’s contribution to the Goals. To achieve the Goals, it is important that the institution engages with the research to ensure that its own policies and practices are in line with the Goals.

Table 1. Number of publications and citation counts relating to each of the SDGs on Scopus 2014–2019.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UN Sustainable Development Goals</th>
<th>Publications</th>
<th>Citation Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 No Poverty</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Zero Hunger</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6,393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Good Health &amp; Wellbeing</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>24,281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Quality Education</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Gender Equality</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>7,318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Clean Water &amp; Sanitation</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>10,823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Affordable &amp; Clean Energy</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1,383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Decent Work &amp; Economic Growth</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>1,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Industry, Innovation &amp; Infrastruct</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1,227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Reduced Inequalities</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>6,592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Sustainable Cities and Communities</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>10,136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Responsible Consumption &amp; Production</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>2,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Climate Action</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>7,820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Life Below Water</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Life on Land</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>2,612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Peace, Justice &amp; Strong Institutions</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>11,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Partnership for the Goals</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3,778</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Top 5 SDGs with the highest publication number vs highest citation count.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Number of publications</th>
<th>Citation Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>Good Health &amp; Wellbeing</td>
<td>Good Health &amp; Wellbeing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>Peace, Justice &amp; Strong Institutions</td>
<td>Peace, Justice &amp; Strong Institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>Reduced Inequalities</td>
<td>Clean Water &amp; Sanitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>Sustainable Cities and Communities</td>
<td>Sustainable Cities and Communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>Life On Land</td>
<td>Climate Action</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Contributions towards the Goals can help with communications and public relations (Jones et al., 2018). Not only can this showcase the positive work that the institution is doing, but it can also spread the awareness of the Goals to the public who might not be exposed to the framework. However, this could potentially turn into ‘greenwash’ if not used correctly and lead to ‘cherry-picking’ certain Goals, instead of showing the Goals as a whole (PricewaterhouseCoopers, 2015).

Along with highlighting strengths, it can also identify knowledge gaps. This is important as the Goals are interdependable and you can’t achieve one goal without considering or impacting other goals. By identifying gaps, this can aid decision-making on whether institutions want to change to improve these gaps. Also, this can provide opportunities for collaborations with other institutions and the local community to help solve these knowledge gaps.

This methodology looks at the research at the end of the journey, however the Goals should also be considered when looking at funding. Funding sources often drive universities’ activities (Ferrer-Balas et al., 2008) so the Goals can help put the impact of a project into a wider context as they are a globally recognised framework. By identifying strengths in relation to the Goals, this can support and strengthen funding bids.

**Future development**

**Teaching**

Work to date has centred on mapping research to the Goals, however we have ambitions to broaden our scope to look at teaching. A manual mapping process has been trialled; however, it was laborious therefore utilising our automated tool would be beneficial. In order to map teaching, a new set of keywords will be developed specific to text used to describe teaching. During the manual trial individual module specifications and intended learning outcomes from each degree course were used to select keywords for each of the 17 Goals. We anticipate the full development of SDG mapping for teaching will present some challenges as each university uses different text lengths, style and language to describe degree and module courses. We have ambitions to standardise SDG

---

**Figure 2.** Number of University of Leicester’s REF 2014 Impact Case Studies relating to each of the SDGs.
mapping for teaching regardless of university in the near future.

**Operations**

Currently the SDG mapping tool is being developed to map the University’s operations. The methodology for this will be similar to that conducted for research and impact instead with operations-specific keyword lists for each of the Goals. This will be achieved using the University’s policies and procedures documents that are publicly available in order to gather operation specific keywords. This new function will provide the building blocks for SDG mapping for business. We believe that businesses are central to achieving the Goals by 2030. Recently, there has been mounting pressure on businesses to report on their sustainability practices but there is not an effective or quick way of doing this (Adams & Zutshi, 2004). Our tool fills the gap in the sustainability reporting market as it uses a robust methodology to map an organisations contribution to the Goals. In order to place more accountability on business organisations regarding their policies and procedures, we are planning to develop a weighted scoring system to assess businesses on whether they perform and achieve the policies they have in place. The standardisation and automation of this process will improve sustainability reporting further and highlight an organisation’s impact within its industry, sector or wider.

**Data availability**

**Underlying data**

All data underlying the results are available as part of the article and no additional source data are required.

**Extended data**

University of Leicester Figshare: Research Excellent Framework Impact Case Study 2014 SDG keywords.

https://doi.org/10.25392/leicester.data.12839444.v1 (Mistry, 2020)

This project contains the following extended data:

- REF impact case study SDG keywords.csv (Keywords for each of the 17 Sustainable development goals derived from REF Impact Case studies 2014. Each goal has a set of keywords associated with the targets and indicators outlined by the UN. These can be used to classify where impact case studies have an impact in relation to the SDGs.)

University of Leicester Figshare: Scopus SDG Search Query codes

https://doi.org/10.25392/leicester.data.12839552.v1 (Mistry & Sellers, 2020a)

This project contains the following extended data:

- Query codes 3.0.docx (Full query codes for each of the 17 Sustainable Development Goals to be used on Scopus advanced search. Useful when searching for publications from specific institutions which match criteria set. Criteria used include, time frame, keywords, and institution)

University of Leicester Figshare: SDG Research Publication Keywords

https://doi.org/10.25392/leicester.data.12839519.v1 (Mistry & Sellers, 2020b)

This project contains the following extended data:

- SDG research keywords.csv (Keywords for each of the 17 Sustainable development goals used to map an institutions published material which is available on databases such Scopus. Each goal has a set of keywords associated with the targets and indicators outlined by the UN.)

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero “No rights reserved” data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).
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